

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**  
**SEATTLE DIVISION**

6  
7  
8  
9    )         No.: 23-668  
**AML IP, LLC,**                                  )  
10    )  
11    )         **PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**  
12    )         **FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**  
13    )  
14    )  
15    )  
16    )  
17    )  
18    )  
19    )  
20    )  
21    )  
22    )  
23    )  
24    )  
25    )  
26    )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Plaintiff,**    )  
  )  
v.    )  
**VALVE CORPORATION,**                                  )  
  )  
**Defendant**    )  
\_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff AML IP LLC (“AML”) files this Original Complaint and demand for jury trial seeking relief from patent infringement of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,876,979 (“the ’979 patent”) (referred to as the “Patent-in-Suit”) by Valve Corporation (“Defendant” or “Valve”).

**I. THE PARTIES**

1. AML IP LLC is a Texas Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located in Austin, Texas.

2. On information and belief, Valve is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington, with a principal place of business at 10400 NE 4th Street, 14th Floor, Bellevue, Washington 98004, US.

1       3. On information and belief, Valve sells and offers to sell products and services  
2 throughout Washington, including in this judicial district, and introduces products and services  
3 that perform infringing methods or processes into the stream of commerce knowing that they  
4 would be sold in Washington and this judicial district. Valve can be served with process through  
5 their registered agent, Corpserve, Inc, 1001 4th Ave, Ste 4500, Seattle, WA 98154, at its place of  
6 business, or anywhere else it may be found.

7           **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8       4. This Court has original subject-matter jurisdiction over the entire action pursuant  
9 to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because Plaintiff's claim arises under an Act of Congress re-  
10 lating to patents, namely, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

12       5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because: (i) Defendant is  
13 present within or has minimum contacts within the State of Washington and this judicial district;  
14 (ii) Defendant has purposefully availed itself of the privileges of conducting business in the State  
15 of Washington and in this judicial district; and (iii) Plaintiff's cause of action arises directly from  
16 Defendant's business contacts and other activities in the State of Washington and in this judicial  
17 district.

19       6. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(b).  
20 Defendant has committed acts of infringement and has a regular and established place of  
21 business in this District. Further, venue is proper because Defendant conducts substantial  
22 business in this forum, directly or through intermediaries, including: (i) at least a portion of the  
23 infringements alleged herein; and (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other  
24 persistent courses of conduct and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services  
25 provided to individuals in Washington and this District.

1           **III. INFRINGEMENT - Infringement of the '979 Patent**

2       7. On April 5, 2005, U.S. Patent No. 6,876,979 ("the '979 patent" (attached as  
3 Exhibit A)) entitled "Electronic Commerce Bridge System" was duly and legally issued by the  
4 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Plaintiff owns the '979 patent by assignment.

5       8. The '979 patent relates to novel and improved methods and apparatuses for  
6 conducting electronic commerce.

7       9. Defendant maintains, operates, and administers systems, products, and services  
8 that facilitate purchases from a user using a bridge computer that infringes one or more of claims  
9 1-13 of the '979 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. Defendant put the  
10 inventions claimed by the '979 Patent into service (i.e., used them); but for Defendant's actions,  
11 the claimed-inventions embodiments involving Defendant's products and services would never  
12 have been put into service. Defendant's acts complained of herein caused those claimed-  
13 invention embodiments as a whole to perform, and Defendant's procurement of monetary and  
14 commercial benefit from it.

15     10. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the chart attached as  
16 Exhibit B. These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to change.

17     11. Defendant has and continues to induce infringement. Defendant has actively  
18 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related  
19 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., methods for  
20 supporting multi-party collaboration over a computer network) such as to cause infringement of  
21 one or more of claims 1-13 of the '979 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.  
22 Moreover, Defendant has known of the '979 patent and the technology underlying it from at least  
23

1 the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>1</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is previously alleged in this  
 2 complaint.

3       12. Defendant has and continues to contributorily infringe. Defendant has actively  
 4 encouraged or instructed others (e.g., its customers and/or the customers of its related  
 5 companies), and continues to do so, on how to use its products and services (e.g., methods for  
 6 supporting multi-party collaboration over a computer network) and related services such as to  
 7 cause infringement of one or more of claims 1-13 of the '979 patent, literally or under the  
 8 doctrine of equivalents. Further, there are no substantial noninfringing uses for Defendant's  
 9 products and services. Moreover, Defendant has known of the '979 patent and the technology  
 10 underlying it from at least the filing date of the lawsuit.<sup>2</sup> For clarity, direct infringement is  
 11 previously alleged in this complaint.

13       13. Defendant has caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff damage by direct and  
 14 indirect infringement of (including inducing infringement of) the claims of the '979 patent.

15       **IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

16       WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- 18       a. enter judgment that Defendant has infringed the claims of the '979 patent;
- 19       b. award Plaintiff damages in an amount sufficient to compensate it for Defendant's  
 20           infringement of the Patents-in-Suit in an amount no less than a reasonable royalty  
 21           or lost profits, together with pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and costs  
 22           under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

---

24  
 25  
 26       <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

2       <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff reserves the right to amend if discovery reveals an earlier date of knowledge.

- 1 c. award Plaintiff an accounting for acts of infringement not presented at trial and an  
2 award by the Court of additional damage for any such acts of infringement;
- 3 d. declare this case to be “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and award Plaintiff its  
4 attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action;
- 5 e. declare Defendant’s infringement to be willful and treble the damages, including  
6 attorneys’ fees, expenses, and costs incurred in this action and an increase in the  
7 damage award pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- 8 f. a decree addressing future infringement that either (i) awards a permanent  
9 injunction enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, affiliates,  
10 divisions, and subsidiaries, and those in association with Defendant from  
11 infringing the claims of the Patents-in-Suit, or (ii) awards damages for future  
12 infringement in lieu of an injunction in an amount consistent with the fact that for  
13 future infringement the Defendant will be an adjudicated infringer of a valid  
14 patent, and trebles that amount in view of the fact that the future infringement will  
15 be willful as a matter of law; and
- 16 g. award Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

20 Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on issues so triable by right.

21 Dated: May 8, 2023

22 Respectfully submitted,

23 PUGET LAW GROUP

24 s/ Casey M. Arbenz  
25 Casey M. Arbenz (WA Bar No. 40581)  
Email: [casey@pugetlawgroup.com](mailto:casey@pugetlawgroup.com)  
938 Broadway  
26 Tacoma, WA 98402  
Telephone: (253) 627-4696

1 RAMEY LLP  
2

3 s/ William P. Ramey, III  
4 William P. Ramey, III (pro hac vice anticipated)  
5 Email: wramey@rameyfirm.com  
6 5020 Montrose Blvd., Suite 800  
7 Houston, TX 77006  
8 Telephone: (713) 426-3923  
9 Fax: (832) 689-9175

10  
11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
12 **AML IP, LLC**  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26